Facility Name:

No.	Q. Code (F/P/B)	Questions and Expectations	Finding (C/N/NA)	Refs.	Observations
1	Р	Does the management program require that a compilation of safety and environmental information be developed and maintained for the subject facility? Example Expectation: 1. Written plan that requires a compilation of information for each offshore facility and spells out what information to collect and retain.		RP 75, 2.1	

No.	Q. Code (F/P/B)	Questions and Expectations	Finding (C/N/NA)	Refs.	Observations
2	Р	Does the management program require that documentation be retained on process and mechanical design? Example Expectations: 1. A written plan requiring that a compilation of process and mechanical design information be made and spelling out what information to collect and retain.		RP 75, 2.1	
3	Р	Does the management program require that process, mechanical, and facilities design information be retained for the life of the facility? Example Expectation: 1. A written plan requiring retention of the above design information for the life of the facility.		RP 75, 2.1	
4	Р	If the management program allows common documentation for simple or nearly identical facilities within the same field, does it require that site specific differences be addressed? Example Expectation: 1. A written plan addressing site-specific differences in common information.		RP 75, 2.1	

No.	Q. Code (F/P/B)	Questions and Expectations	Finding (C/N/NA)	Refs.	Observations
5	Р	Is process design information included in the		RP 75,	
		program (refer to question 5, items a, b, and c)?		2.2	
		Example Expectation:			
		 On-site field verification that information, as required by the written plan, is available. 			
а	F	a. Simplified process flow diagram		RP14J,	
		(safety flow diagram or simplified P&ID, or		6.2.1;	
		equivalent).		30 CFR 250	
b	F	b. Acceptable upper and lower limits for		RP 75,	
		temperature, pressure, flow, and		6.2.2.1;	
		composition, where applicable.		30 CFR 250	
С	F	c. Process design material and energy		RP 75,	
		balances, where available. (If unavailable,		2.2.2;	
		has this information been developed in		RP14J,	
		sufficient detail to support the hazards analysis?)		6.2.2	
		(Note: Information of this type is typical for			
		facilities more complicated than the normal			
		oil and gas production platform, i.e.,			
		cryogenic and LNG facilities. For normal			
		production facilities, such information is not required.)			

No.	Q. Code (F/P/B)	Questions and Expectations	Finding (C/N/NA)	Refs.	Observations
6	Р	Does the management program require that mechanical and facilities design information be documented? Example Expectation: 1. On-site field verification that information, as required by the written plan, is available.		RP 75, 2.1	
а	F	Piping and instrument diagrams (P&IDs), or equivalent.		RP 75, 2.3.1	
b	F	b. Electrical area classification drawing.		RP 75, 2.3.1; RP 500; 30 CFR 250	
С	F	c. Equipment arrangement drawings (layout).		RP 75, 2.3.1; 30 CFR 250	
d	F	d. Basis for relief valve sizing information.		RP 75, 2.3.1, 6.2.1	

C;
C;
·
250
250
5,
;
C;
250
5,
,
C;
250;
R
30
5,
•
R
30;
R
40
5,
140 15 140 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15

No.	Q. Code (F/P/B)	Questions and Expectations	Finding (C/N/NA)	Refs.	Observations
7	F	If a MOU is in use by the operator, does the management program require that it conform to the applicable requirements of the flag state and classification society (International Load Line Certificate, USCG Certificate of Inspection, IMO MODU Code Certificate, or International Oil Pollution Prevention Certificate)?		RP 75, 2.3.2	
8A	F	Was the facility designed consistent with the applicable consensus codes and standards in effect at the time it was built? If YES, skip No. 8B; if N/A answer No. 8B below. Example Expectation: 1. Evidence of suitability such as RP 14C review, relief analysis, hazards analysis, etc.		RP 75, 2.3.3	
8B	F	If code or standard conformance cannot be verified or does not exist, is suitability of design for intended use documented? Example Expectation: 1. Suitable engineering analysis or documented successful prior operating experience.		RP 75, 2.3.4	

No.	Q. Code (F/P/B)	Questions and Expectations	Finding (C/N/NA)	Refs.	Observations
9	F	Was the consideration of human factors included in the design of new facilities or major modifications? Example Expectation: 1. Suitable evidence in the form of a human factors study or human factor assessments embedded in the design reviews or hazards analyses for the facility.		RP 75, 2.3.5; ASTM F1166-95	